

IFW
2877IN THE UNITED STATES
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

PATENT APPLICATION

Winfried Denk
Michale Sean Fee
Fritjof Helmchen
David William Tank

CASE 5-4-1-5

Serial No. 09/971856 Group Art Unit 2877

Filed October 5, 2001

Examiner A. Merlino

Title System And Method For Optical Scanning

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

SIR:

Enclosed is an Amendment Under 37 CFR § 1.312 and an Interview Summary Under 37 CFR § 1.133 in the above-identified application.

NO ADDITIONAL FEE REQUIRED

In the event of non-payment or improper payment of a required fee, the Commissioner is authorized to charge or to credit Deposit Account No. 12-2325 as required to correct the error.

Respectfully,

John F. McCabe, Attorney
Reg. No. 42854
908-582-6866.

Date: Dec. 23, 2004

Docket Administrator (Room 3J-219)
Lucent Technologies Inc.
101 Crawfords Corner Road
Holmdel, NJ 07733-3030

Date of Deposit December 23, 2004

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Patentee Fee, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date indicated above.

Catherine F. Dugan
Printed name of person mailing paper

Catherine F. Dugan
Signature of person mailing paper



**IN THE UNITED STATES
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Patent Application

Inventors: Winfried Denk et al

Case No.: 5-4-1-5

Serial No.: 09/971,856

Group Art Unit: 2877

Filing Date: Oct. 5, 2001

Examiner: Amanda H. Merlin

Title: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR OPTICAL SCANNING

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.133

On Nov. 18, 2004, Examiner Amanda Merlin initiated a series of telephonic interviews with John McCabe, i.e., representative of Applicants.

During the interviews, the following occurred:

Examiner Merlin stated that claim 11 – 19 were allowable.

Examiner Merlin stated that claim 1 was novel.

Examiner Merlin stated that claim 1 was obvious over other art.

Examiner Merlin stated that the combination of claims 1 and 6 would probably be allowable.

Mr. McCabe refused the proposal to incorporate claim 6 into claim 1.

Mr. McCabe stated that a clarity amendment to the last clause of claim 1 would be acceptable.

Examiner Merlin stated that claim 1 would probably be allowable with the clarity amendment if claim 3 also incorporated into claim 1.

To expedite prosecution, Mr. McCabe accepted such an amendment of claim 1.

In the event of any non-payment or improper payment of a required fee, the Commissioner is authorized to charge or to credit **Lucent Technologies Deposit Account No. 12-2325** to correct the error.

Respectfully,

By John McCabe

John F. McCabe, Reg. No. 42,854
Telephone: 908-582-6866

Date: Nov. 27, 2004

Lucent Technologies, Inc.
Docket Administrator
101 Crawfords Corner Road (Rm. 3J-219)
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733



**IN THE UNITED STATES
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Patent Application

Inventors: Winfried Denk et al

Case No.: 5-4-1-5

Serial No.: 09/971,856

Group Art Unit: 2877

Filing Date: Oct. 5, 2001

Examiner: Amanda H. Merlino

Title: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR OPTICAL SCANNING

Mail Stop: Issue Fee

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.312

Applicant requests entry of this amendment in response to the Notice of Allowance.

a. Introductory Comments

Claims 1 – 2 and 4 – 19 have been allowed.

Herein, Applicants request an amendment to claim 1.